

### Problem1

a. We use LU decomposition method to solve the system of linear equations:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 8 & 14 \\ 2 & 6 & 13 \end{pmatrix} = LU$$

We need to find L and U after decomposing the Matrix A. Where,

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} l_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ l_{21} & l_{22} & 0 \\ l_{31} & l_{32} & l_{33} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & u_{12} & u_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & u_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$LU = \begin{pmatrix} l_{11} & l_{11}.u_{12} & l_{11}.u_{13} \\ l_{21} & l_{21}.u_{12} + l_{22} & l_{21}.u_{13} + l_{22}.u_{23} \\ l_{31} & l_{31}.u_{12} + l_{32} & l_{31}.u_{13} + l_{32}.u_{23} + l_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

The entries of LU matrix found by comparing A and LU:

$$l_{11}=1$$

$$l_{21}=3$$

$$l_{31}=2$$

$$u_{12}=2/1=2$$

$$l_{22}=8-3*2=2$$

$$l_{32}=6-2*2=2$$

$$u_{13}=4/1=4;$$

$$u_{23}=(14-(3*4))/2=1$$

$$u_{33}=13-(2*4)-(2*1)=3$$

Now we have L and U matrices

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We can apply back substitution method

$$AX=B$$

$$LUX=B$$

$$UX=y$$

$$LY=B$$

b. Brent:  $B = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 14 \\ 12 \end{pmatrix}$

we are solving  $LY=B$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 14 \\ 12 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_1 &= 4 \\
 3y_1 + 2y_2 &= 14 \\
 2y_1 + 2y_2 + 3y_3 &= 12
 \end{aligned}$$

We get  $y_1 = 4$   $y_2 = 1$   $y_3 = 2/3$

Now, we solve (UX=Y)

$$\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
 1 & 2 & 4 & x_1 & & 4 \\
 0 & 1 & 1 & x_2 & = & 1 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & x_3 & & 2/3
 \end{array}$$

Final solutions for x:

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_3 &= 2/3 \\
 x_2 &= 1/3 \\
 x_1 &= 4 - (2 \cdot 1/3) - 4 \cdot 2/3 = 2/3;
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for Abadan:  $B = \begin{matrix} 3 \\ 11 \\ 9 \end{matrix}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
 1 & 0 & 0 & y_1 & & 3 \\
 3 & 2 & 0 & y_2 & = & 11 \\
 2 & 2 & 3 & y_3 & & 9
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_1 &= 3 \\
 3y_1 + 2y_2 &= 11 \\
 2y_1 + 2y_2 + 3y_3 &= 9
 \end{aligned}$$

$y_1 = 3$   $y_2 = 1$   $y_3 = 1/3$

$$\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
 1 & 2 & 4 & x_1 & & 3 \\
 0 & 1 & 1 & x_2 & = & 1 \\
 0 & 0 & 1 & x_3 & & 1/3
 \end{array}$$

Final solution for (b):

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_3 &= 1/3 \\
 x_2 &= 2/3 \\
 x_1 &= 3 - (2 \cdot 2/3) - 4 \cdot 1/3 = 1/3;
 \end{aligned}$$

## Problem 2

- a) Use forward differences for the first point, backward differences for the last point and central differences everywhere else.

Forward differences:

$$\frac{dh_1}{dt} = \frac{h_2 - h_1}{\Delta t}$$

Backward differences:

$$\frac{dh_{11}}{dt} = \frac{h_{11} - h_{10}}{\Delta t}$$

Central differences:

$$\frac{dh_i}{dt} = \frac{h_{i+1} - h_{i-1}}{2\Delta t}$$

Time (s)	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
Level (m)	1.000	1.220	1.232	1.059	0.937	0.872	0.841	0.827	0.821	0.818	0.817
dh/dt (m/s)	2.20E-2	1.16E-2	-8.04E-3	-1.48E-2	-9.35E-3	-4.76E-3	-2.25E-3	-1.03E-3	-4.69E-4	-2.12E-4	-1.32E-4

- b) Compute  $F_{in}(t)$  as  $F_{in}(t) = A \frac{dh(t)}{dt} + S_n \sqrt{2gh(t)}$

Index	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Time (s)	0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
$F_{in}(t)$ (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	2.5535E-4	2.6310E-4	2.3350E-4	2.0481E-4	2.0052E-4	1.9911E-4	2.0002E-4	1.9987E-4	2.0067E-4	2.0031E-4	2.0018E-4

- a) To compute the overall volume of the liquid that was pumped into the reactor we integrate the volumetric flow rate  $F_{in}(t)$  over the observed time using a composite 1/3 Simpson rule for 10 intervals as we have 11 points.

$$V = \int_{t=0}^{t=100} F_{in}(t) dt$$

$$V \approx \frac{(t_{10} - t_0)}{3n} \left( F_{in}(t_0) + 4 \sum_{i=1,3,5,\dots}^{n-1} F_{in}(t_i) + 2 \sum_{i=2,4,6,\dots}^{n-2} F_{in}(t_i) + F_{in}(t_2) \right)$$

$$V \approx \frac{100s \cdot 10^{-4}}{3 \cdot 10} \cdot (2.5535 + 4 \cdot (2.6310 + 2.3350 + 1.9911 + 1.9987 + 2.0031) + 2 \cdot (2.3350 + 2.0052 + 2.0002 + 2.0067) + 2.0018) \frac{m^3}{s}$$

$$V \approx 0.0217 m^3 = 21.7 L$$

### Problem 3

a) Euler backward method:

$$\frac{G(t_{k+1}) - G(t_k)}{h} = f_1(G(t_{k+1}), t_{k+1}) + O(h)$$

$$\frac{L(t_{k+1}) - L(t_k)}{h} = f_2(L(t_{k+1}), t_{k+1}) + O(h)$$

neglecting  $O(h)$  and replacing  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  we will have:

$$G(t_{k+1}) - G(t_k) - h(0.7G(t_{k+1}) - 0.007G(t_{k+1})L(t_{k+1})) = 0$$

$$L(t_{k+1}) - L(t_k) - h(0.0021G(t_{k+1})L(t_{k+1}) - 0.5L(t_{k+1})) = 0$$

taking  $w_1 = G(t_{k+1})$  and  $w_2 = L(t_{k+1})$  and replacing  $G(t_k)$  and  $L(t_k)$  by  $G(0)$  and  $L(0)$  we will have :

$$F_1 = w_1 - 400 - h(0.7w_1 - 0.007w_1w_2) = 0$$

$$F_2 = w_2 - 50 - h(0.0021w_1w_2 - 0.5w_2) = 0$$

Newton-Raphson can be used for solving this multi-dimensional root finding problem. Therefore, in each iteration we have:

$$w_{k+1} = w_k - J^{-1}(w_k)F(w_k)$$

where for the first iteration:

$$w_k = \begin{bmatrix} G(0) \\ L(0) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 400 \\ 50 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } J(w_k) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial w_1} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial w_2} \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial w_1} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial w_2} \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - h \cdot 0.7 + 0.007 \cdot 50 \cdot h & 0.007 \cdot h \cdot 400 \\ -h \cdot 0.0021 \cdot 50 & 1 - 0.0021 \cdot 400 \cdot h + 0.5 \cdot h \end{bmatrix}$$

$$J^{-1}(w_k) = \frac{1}{\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial w_1} \cdot \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial w_2} - \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial w_2} \cdot \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial w_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 0.0021 \cdot 400 \cdot h + 0.5 \cdot h & -0.007 \cdot h \cdot 400 \\ h \cdot 0.0021 \cdot 50 & 1 - h \cdot 0.7 + 0.007 \cdot 50 \cdot h \end{bmatrix}$$

$$F(w_k) = \begin{bmatrix} 400 - 400 - h(0.7 \cdot 400 - 0.007 \cdot 400 \cdot 50) \\ 50 - 50 - h(0.0021 \cdot 400 \cdot 50 - 0.5 \cdot 50) \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore, the values of  $x$  at each time step are calculated using value of  $x$  at previous time step and sufficient iterations of Newton-Raphson.

b) For each iteration we have:

$$w_{k+1} = w_k - J^{-1}(w_k)F(w_k)$$

using  $h = 1/104$  we have

First iteration:

$$\begin{bmatrix} w_1(1) \\ w_2(1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 400 \\ 50 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 400 \\ 50 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1.003 & -0.027 \\ 0.001 & 1.003 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1.346 \\ -0.163 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 401.346 \\ 50.165 \end{bmatrix}$$

Second iteration:

$$\begin{bmatrix} w_1(2) \\ w_2(2) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 401.346 \\ 50.165 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 401.346 \\ 50.165 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1.003 & -0.027 \\ 0.001 & 1.003 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1.498 * 10^{-5} \\ -4.495 * 10^{-6} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 401.346 \\ 50.165 \end{bmatrix}$$

Third iteration:

$$\begin{bmatrix} w_1(3) \\ w_2(3) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 401.346 \\ 50.165 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 401.346 \\ 50.165 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1.003 & -0.027 \\ 0.001 & 1.003 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 8.882 * 10^{-15} \\ 1.776 * 10^{-15} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 401.346 \\ 50.165 \end{bmatrix}$$

therefore, the estimation for  $x(1)$  is:

$$x(1) = \begin{bmatrix} G(1) \\ L(1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 401.346 \\ 50.165 \end{bmatrix}$$

c) Explicit methods are applicable for non-stiff systems and Runge-Kutta (4,5) (ODE45 in MatLab) is one of the best explicit methods. Implicit methods such as Adams-Bashforth-Moulton predictor-corrector are applied when we have a stiff system, since they are more robust.

#### Problem 4

Solution a)

```
i = 1;
while A(i) ~= 0 && i <= length(A)
    i = i + 1 ;
end
iZero = i;
```

Solution b)

```
iZero = min(find(A==0));
```

### Problem 5

Solution a)

```
tspan = [0,10];  
x0 = [1,0]  
[t,x] = ode45(f,tspan,x0);
```

Solution b)

Variant 1: Anonymous function

```
f = @(t,x)[x(1)*t - 2*x(2); 10*x(1) + 5]
```

Variant 2 - As a matlab function

```
function dxdt = f(x,t)  
    dxdt = [x(1)*t - 2*x(2); 10*x(1) + 5];  
end
```

### Problem 6

1. c.
2. b.
3. e.
4. a.
5. d.

### Problem 7

- a) `function [A,B] = functionExam(x,y,z)`
- b) `A = functionExam(1,3)`
- c) `[A,B] = functionExam(2,3,5)`